

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,338	11/04/2003	David Perko	4930	
7:	590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER	
FRANK L. KUBLER			OLSON, LARS A	
13261 S.W. 540 Miramar, FL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3617 DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	10/701,338	PERKO, DAVID	9
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lars A Olson	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-12 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
American			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/701,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. An amendment was received from the applicant on September 29, 2004.

2. Claims 1, 2, 15 and 16 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day in view of Nanami (US 5,618,213).

Day discloses a water vessel, as shown in Figures 2-6, that is comprised of at least three closed gas containers, defined as Parts #40 and 50, in the form of two circular hull tubes and a circular cover tube, as shown in Figure 2, that are fastened in close lateral proximity to each other by fastening means, defined as Part #60, to define an interstitial hold space between said containers, as shown in Figure 6. Said tubes can also be made from rubber material, as described in lines 60-62 of column 2.

Day, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of an engine that is connected to two counter-rotating propellers for propelling said watercraft.

Nanami discloses a water vessel, as shown in Figures 1-5, with an engine, defined as Part #19, that is connected to two counter-rotating propellers, defined as Parts #67 and 71, by means of a drive shaft, defined as Part #27.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize an engine connected to two counter-rotating propellers, as taught by Nanami, in combination with the water vessel as disclosed by Day for the purpose of providing increased thrust for propelling a water vessel.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-12 and 14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on September 29, 2004 regarding claim 13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argues that Day (US 5,014,639) in view of Nanami (US 5,618,213) does not disclose all of the features claimed.
- 8. In response to the applicant's argument, Day discloses a water vessel that is comprised of at least three closed gas containers in the form of two circular hull tubes and a circular cover tube that are fastened in close lateral proximity to one another by fastening means in order to define an interstitial hold space between said containers. Nanami discloses a water vessel with an engine that is connected to two counterrotating propellers by means of a drive shaft. Thus, there is sufficient motivation to

combine the water vessel as disclosed by Day with the water vessel having a propulsion means in the form of two counter-rotating propellers as disclosed by Nanami for the purpose of providing a water vessel with a means for providing increased thrust for propelling said water vessel. Therefore, for the reasons given above, the rejection of claim 13 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

10

November 5, 2004

LARS A OLSON PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

11/5/04